

Data Validation SOP

HW-24, Rev. 1

Volatile Organics

SOP NO. HW-24

Revision 1

June 1999

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE FOR THE VALIDATION OF ORGANIC DATA  
ACQUIRED USING SW-846 METHOD 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROMETRY (GC/MS) :  
CAPILLARY COLUMN TECHNIQUE

## TABLE of CONTENTS

Title Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
I. Package Completeness and Deliverables	4
1.0 Data Completeness and Deliverables	4
2.0 Cover Letter, SDG Narrative	4
II. Volatile Analysis	4
1.0 Traffic Reports and Laboratory Narrative	4
2.0 Holding Times	5
3.0 Surrogate Recovery	6
4.0 Laboratory Control Samples/Matrix Spikes	7
5.0 Blanks	10
6.0 Contamination	11
7.0 GC/MS Apparatus and Materials	13
8.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check	13
9.0 Target Analytes	14
10.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds	16
11.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits	17
12.0 Standards	18
13.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration	18
14.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration	20
15.0 Internal Standards	22
16.0 Field Duplicates	23
Definitions: Acronyms	24
Definitions: Data Qualifier Definitions	25

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **Scope and Applicability**

This SOP offers detailed guidance in evaluating laboratory data generated according to the USEPA SW-846, Method 8260B. The validation methods and actions discussed in this document are based on the requirements set forth in USEPA SW-846, Chapter Two, Rev 3, December 1996; Method 8000B, Rev 2, December 1996; Method 8260B, Rev 2, December 1996; and "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review," February, 1994. This document covers technical as well as method specific problems; however situations may arise where data limitations must be assessed based on the reviewer's own professional judgement.

### **Summary**

To ensure a thorough evaluation of each result in a data case, the reviewer must complete the checklist within this SOP, answering specific questions while performing the prescribed "ACTIONS" in each section. Qualifiers (or flags) are applied to questionable or unusable results as instructed. The data qualifiers discussed in this document are defined on page 25.

The reviewer must prepare a detailed data assessment to be submitted along with the complete SOP checklist. The Data Assessment must list all data qualifications, reasons for qualifications, instances of missing data, and contract non-compliance.

YES NO N/A

# STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

US EPA Region II

SW-846 Method 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

ACTION: If all the VOA vials for a sample have air bubbles or the VOA vial analyzed had air bubbles, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil, other than TCLP, contains 50%-90% water, all data should be flagged as estimated ("J"). If a soil sample, other than TCLP, contains more than 90% water, flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

ACTION: If samples were not iced or if the ice was melted upon receipt at the laboratory and the temperature of the cooler was elevated (>10°C), flag all positive results "J" and all non-detects "UJ".

## 2.0 Holding Times

2.1 Have any volatile holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded?     11    

The holding time for aqueous samples is 14 days.

The holding time for soils is 10 days.

NOTE: If unpreserved, aqueous samples maintained at 4°C for aromatic hydrocarbons analysis must be analyzed within 7 days. If preserved with acid to a pH<2 and stored at 4°C, then aqueous samples must be analyzed within 14 days from time of collection. If uncertain about preservation, contact the laboratory/sampling team to determine whether or not samples were preserved.

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated ("J") and sample quantitation limits as estimated ("UJ"), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded.

If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all results should be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that



SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

1. Flag all positive results as estimated ("J").
2. Flag all non-detects as estimated detection limits ("UJ") when recoveries are less than the lower acceptance limit.
3. If recoveries are greater than the upper acceptance limit, do not qualify non-detects.

If any surrogate has a recovery of  $< 10\%$ :

1. Positive results are qualified with ("J").
2. Non-detects for that should be qualified as unusable ("R").

NOTE: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data that have method blank surrogate recoveries out of specification in both original and reanalyses. Check the internal standard areas.

3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and reported data?

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: If large errors exist, take action as specified in section 3.2 above.

4.0 Laboratory Control Samples/Matrix Spikes (CLP Form III Equivalent)

4.1 Have the volatile Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) recoveries been listed on the laboratory reporting form?

11 \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: If the data has not been reported, then contact the laboratory/project officer to obtain the information necessary to evaluate the spike recoveries in the MS, MSD, and LCS. The required data which should have been provided by the lab include the analytes and concentrations used for spiking, background concentrations of the spiked analytes (i.e., concentrations in unspiked sample), methods and equations used to calculate the QC acceptance criteria for the spiked analytes, percent recovery data for all spiked analytes.

The data reviewer must verify that all reported equations and percent recoveries are correct before proceeding to the next section.



SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

NOTE: The LCS spike is spiked with the same analytes at the same concentrations as the matrix spike (as per SW-846, 8000B-40, Sect. 8.5) if different, make note in Data Assessment.

4.2 Were Laboratory Control Samples analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:

a.	Water	[ ]	—	—
b.	Soil	[ ]	—	—
c.	Med Soil	[ ]	—	—

**ACTION:** If any LCS data are missing, take the action specified in section 3.2 above.

4.3 How many LCS volatile spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water Soil

\_\_\_\_\_ out of \_\_\_\_\_ out of \_\_\_\_\_

**ACTION:** Circle all outliers with a red pencil.

4.4 Were one or more of the volatile LCS recoveries outside of the in-house laboratory recovery criteria for spiked analytes? If none are present, then use 70-130% recovery as per SW-846, 8000B-41, Sect. 8.5.4.

ACTION:

1. If the recovery is > upper in-house limit (or 130%), only positive values for the affected compound(s) are flagged "J".
2. If the recovery is < lower in-house limit (or 70%), flag positive values for the affected compound(s) "J" and non-detects "R".

All analytes in associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

1. If 25% of the LCS recoveries were < lower in-house limit (or 70%) qualify all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".
2. If two or more LCS recoveries were < 10% qualify all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

SOF HW-24, Rev. 1

S))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

- 4.5 Have the volatile Matrix Spike(MS)/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) recoveries been listed on the laboratory reporting form? ☐ ☐ ☐
- 4.6 Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrices:
- a. Water ☐ ☐ ☐
- b. Soil ☐ ☐ ☐
- c. Med Soil ☐ ☐ ☐

NOTE: The laboratory should use one matrix spike and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If the sample is not expected to contain target analytes, a MS/MSD should be analyzed (SW-846, 8260B-25, Sect. 8.4.2)

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, take the action specified in 3.2 above.

- 4.7 How many MS/MSD volatile spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

Water

Soil

\_\_\_\_\_ out of

out of

- 4.8 How many RPD's for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate recoveries are outside OC limits?

Water

Soil

\_\_\_\_\_ out of \_\_\_\_\_

out of

**ACTION:** Circle all outliers with a red pencil.

- 4.9 Were one or more of the volatile MS/MSD recoveries outside of the in-house laboratory recovery criteria for spiked analytes? If none are present, then use 70-130% recovery as per SW-846, 8000B-41, Sect. 8.5.4.

US EPA Region II

SW-846 Method 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))  
YES NO N/A

NOTE: If any individual % recovery in the MS (or MSD) falls outside the designated range for recovery the reviewer should determine if there is a matrix effect. A matrix effect is indicated if the LCS data are within limits but the MS data exceeds the limits.

NOTE: MS/MSD criteria apply only to the original sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples.

1. If the recovery is  $>$  upper in-house limit/130%, only positive values for the affected compound(s) are flagged "J".
2. If the recovery is  $<$  lower in-house limit/70%, flag positive values for the affected compound(s) "J" and non-detects "UJ".
3. If two or more MS/MSD recoveries were  $<$  10% qualify all positive results "J" and all non-detects "R".

5.0 Blank (CLP Form IV Equivalent)

5.1 Is the Method Blank Summary form present? 11 \_\_\_\_\_

## 5.2 Frequency of Analysis:

Has a reagent/method blank analysis been reported for samples of similar matrix, or concentration level, and for each extraction batch?

5.3 Has a method blank been analyzed for each GC/MS system used? [ ]

**ACTION:** If any method blank data are missing, take action as specified in section 3.2. If not available, use professional judgement to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified.

5.4 Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra.

Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for the volatiles? ☐

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

## 6.0 Contamination

NOTE: "Water blanks", "drill blanks" and "distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample and are not used to qualify the data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below.

- 6.1 Do any method/instrument/reagent blanks have positive results for target analytes and/or TICs? When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample dilution factor and corrected for percent moisture where necessary.

\_\_\_\_\_

- 6.2 Do any trip/field/rinse/ blanks have positive results for target analytes and/or TICs?

\_\_\_\_\_ 11 \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (May attach a separate sheet.)

NOTE: All field blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field Blanks must be qualified for outlying surrogates, poor spectra, instrument performance or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify sample results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks.

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

FORM NO. 10-68 (REV. 7, DEC 1966) SOF HW-24, REV. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

	Sample conc > CRQL but < 10x blank value	Sample conc < CRQL & <10x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL & >10x blank
Methylene Chloride Acetone Toluene 2-Butanone	Flag sample result with a "U"	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed
	Sample conc > CRQL but < 5x blank	Sample conc < CRQL & is < 5x blank value	Sample conc > CRQL value & > 5x blank
Other contam- inants	Flag sample result with a "U"	Report CRQL & qualify "U"	No qualification is needed

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination  
are still considered as "hits" when qualifying  
for calibration criteria.

NOTE: The reporting of TIC compounds may or may not be required.

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R" unusable.

6.3 Are there trip/field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?

\_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: For low level samples, note in Data Assessment that there is no associated trip/field/rinse/equipment blank. For analytes with high concentrations, use professional judgement on qualification of these values and make note in Data Assessment. Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

SOF HW-24, Rev. 1

S))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

## 7.0 GC/MS Apparatus and Materials

7.1 Did the lab use the proper gas chromatographic column(s) for analysis of volatiles by Method 8260B? Check raw data, instrument logs or contact the lab to determine what type of column(s) was (were) used. For the analysis of volatiles, the method requires requires the use of 60 m. x 0.75 mm capillary column, coated with VOCOL(Supelco) or equivalent column. (see SW-846, page 8260B-7, section 4.9.2)

11 \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: If the specified column, or equivalent, was not used, document the effects in the Data Assessment. Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.

8.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (CLP Form V Equivalent)

- 8.1 Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check forms present for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB), and do these forms list the associated samples with date/time analyzed?
- 8.2 Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge ( $m/z$ ) listing for the BFB provided for each twelve hour shift?
- 8.3 Has an instrument performance check solution (BFB) been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?(see Table 4, SW-846, page 8260B-36)

11 \_\_\_\_\_

11 \_\_\_\_\_

[1] \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: List date, time, instrument ID, and sample analyses for which no associated GC/MS tuning data are available.

DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT	SAMPLE NUMBERS
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

**ACTION:** If the laboratory/project officer/appropriate official cannot provide missing data, reject ("R") all data generated outside an acceptable twelve hour calibration interval.

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

ACTION: If mass assignment is in error, flag all associated sample data as unusable, "R".

8.4 Have the ion abundances been normalized to  $m/z$  95? [ ]

8.5 Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used? [ ]

ACTION: List all data which do not meet ion abundance criteria (attach a separate sheet).

**ACTION:** If ion abundance criteria are not met, take action as specified in section 3.2.

8.6 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between mass lists and reported values? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.) \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

8.7 Have the appropriate number of significant figures (two) been reported? [ ]

**ACTION:** If large errors exist, take action as specified in section 3.2.

8.8 Are the spectra of the mass calibration compound acceptable? [ ]

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified, or rejected.

## 9.0 Target Analytes (CLP Form I Equivalent)

9.1 Are the Organic Analysis reporting forms present with required header information on each page, for each of the following:

a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate 11 \_\_\_\_\_

b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates [ ] [ ] [ ]

c. Blanks [ ]

d. Laboratory Control Samples 1

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

[illegible]

9.2 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, mass spectra for the identified compounds, and the data system printouts (Quant Reports) included in the sample package for each of the following?

a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate ☐

b. Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates  
(Mass spectra not required) [ ]

c. Blanks [ ]

d. Laboratory Control Samples [ ]

**ACTION:** If any data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

9.3 Are the response factors shown in the Quant Report? [ ]

9.4 Is chromatographic performance acceptable with respect to:

Baseline stability? [ ]

Resolution? [ ]

Peak shape? [ ]

Full-scale graph (attenuation)? [ ]

Other: \_\_\_\_\_ [ ]

**ACTION:** Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of the data.

9.5 Are the lab-generated standard mass spectra of identified volatile compounds present for each sample? [ ]

ACTION: If any mass spectra are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above. If the lab does not generate their own standard spectra, make a note in the Data Assessment. If spectra are missing, reject all positive data.

9.6 Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration? [ ]



SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

- 9.7 Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% (of the most abundant ion) also present in the sample mass spectrum?
- 9.8 Do the relative intensities of the characteristic ions in the sample agree within  $\pm 30\%$  of the corresponding relative intensities in the reference spectrum?

**ACTION:** Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of data. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, all such data should be rejected ("R"), flagged ("N") - Presumptive evidence of the presence of the compound) or changed to non detected ("U") at the calculated detection limit. In order to be positively identified, the data must comply with the criteria listed in 9.6, 9.7, and 9.8.

ACTION: When sample carry-over is a possibility, professional judgement should be used to determine if instrument cross-contamination has affected any positive compound identification.

10.0 Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC) (CLP Form I/TIC Equivalent)

- 10.1 If Tentatively Identified Compound were required for this project, are all Tentatively Identified Compound reporting forms present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and a qualifier?

NOTE: Add "N" qualifier to all TICs which have CAS number, if missing.

NOTE: Have the project officer/appropriate official check the project plan to determine if lab was required to identify non-target analytes (SW-846, page 8260B-23, Sect. 7.6.2).

- 10.2 Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and associated "best match" spectra included in the sample package for each of the following:

- a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate
- b. Blanks

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

ACTION: If any TIC data are missing, take action specified in 3.2 above.

ACTION: Add "JN" qualifier only to analytes identified by a CAS#.

NOTE: If TICs are present in the associated blanks take action as specified in section 6.2 above.

10.3 Are any priority pollutants listed as TIC compounds (i.e., an BNA compound listed as a VOA TIC)?

— [ ] —

ACTION: 1. Flag with "R" any target compound listed as a TIC.

2. Make sure all rejected compounds are properly reported if they are target compounds.

10.4 Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% (of the most abundant ion) also present in the sample mass spectrum?

11                            

10.5 Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within  $\pm 20\%$ ?

11 \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was made, change the identification to "unknown" or to some less specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate. Also, when a compound is not found in any blank, but is a suspected artifact of a common laboratory contaminant, the result should be qualified as unusable, "R". (Common lab contaminants: CO<sub>2</sub> (M/E 44), Siloxanes (M/E 73), Hexane, Aldol Condensation Products, Solvent Preservatives, and related byproducts).

## 11.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits

11.1 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in organic analysis reporting form results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and average initial RRF/CF were used to calculate organic analysis reporting form result. Were any errors found?

\_\_\_\_\_ [ ] \_\_\_\_\_

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))q  
YES NO N/A

NOTE: Structural isomers with similar mass spectra, but insufficient GC resolution (i.e. percent valley between the two peaks > 25%) should be reported as isomeric pairs. The reviewer should check the raw data to ensure that all such isomers were included in the quantitation (i.e., add the areas of the two coeluting peaks to calculate the total concentration).

11.2 Are the method CROL's adjusted to reflect sample dilutions and, for soils, sample moisture?

[1]

ACTION: If errors are large, take action as specified in section 3.2 above.

ACTION: When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest detection limits are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher detection limit from the diluted sample data). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and it's associated value on the original reporting form (if present) and substituting the data from the analysis of the diluted sample. Specify which organic analysis reporting form is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all reporting forms that should not be used, including any in the summary package.

## 12.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)

12.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration?

\_\_\_\_\_

**ACTION:** If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in section 3.2 above.

13.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (CLP Form VI Equivalent)

13.1 Are the Initial Calibration reporting forms present and complete for the volatile fraction?

11 \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: If any calibration forms or standard raw data are missing, take action specified in section 3.2 above.

# STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

US EPA Region II

SW-846 Method 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S))Q  
YES NO N/A

13.2 Are all average RRFs > 0.050?

NOTE: (Method Requirement) For SPOC compounds, the individual RRF values must be the values in the following list. If individual RRF values reported are below the listed values document in the Data Assessment.

Chloromethane	0.10
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.10
Bromoform	0.10
Chlorobenzene	0.30
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.30

ACTION: Circle all outliers with red pencil.

ACTION: For any target analyte with average RRF < 0.05, qualify all positive results for that analyte "J" and all non-detect results for that analyte "R".

NOTE: The above data qualification action applies regardless of method requirements.

11 \_ \_

13.3 Are response factors stable over the concentration range of the calibration. The % relative standard deviation (%RSD) 15.0% as per SW-846, 8260B-17 Sect. 7.3.6.2.

NOTE: (Method Requirement) For the following CCC compounds, the %RSD values must be 30.0%. If %RSD values reported are > 30.0% document in the Data Assessment.

1,1-Dichloroethene  
Chloroform  
1,2-Dichloropropane  
Toluene  
Ethylbenzene  
Vinyl chloride

ACTION: Circle all outliers with a red pencil.

ACTION: If the % RSD is > 15.0%, qualify positive results for that analyte "J" and non-detects using professional judgement. When RSD > 90%, qualify all positive results for that analyte "J" and all non-detect results for that analyte "R".

NOTE: The above data qualification action applies regardless of method requirements.

NOTE:

Analytes previously qualified "U" due to blank

11 \_ \_

SW-846 Method 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

contamination are still considered as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

13.4 Was the % RSD determined using RRF or CF?

If no, what method was used to determine the linearity of the initial calibration? Document any effects to the case in the Data Assessment.

13.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the reporting of RRF or % RSD? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)

\_\_\_\_\_

ACTION: Circle errors with a red pencil.

ACTION: If errors are large, take action as specified in section 3.2 above.

14.0 GC/MS Calibration Verification (CLP Form VII Equivalent)





14.1 Are the Calibration Verification reporting forms present and complete for all compounds of interest?

[ ] \_\_\_\_\_

14.2 Has a calibration verification standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?

ACTION: List below all sample analyses that were not within twelve hours of a calibration verification analysis for each instrument used.

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no calibration verification standard has been analyzed twelve hours prior to sample analysis, take action as specified in section 3.2 above. If calibration verification data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable ("R").

14.3 Was the % D determined from the calibration verification determined using RRF or CF?

If  
no,  
what  
metho  
d was  
used

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S)))))))))))))Q  
YES NO N/A

to determine the calibration verification?  
Document any effects to the case in the  
Data Assessment.

14.4 Do any volatile compounds have a % D (difference or drift) between the initial and continuing RRF or CF which exceeds 20% (SW-846, page 8260B-19, section 7.4.5.2).

NOTE: (Method Requirement) For the following CCC compounds, the %D values must be 20.0%. If %D values reported are > 20.0% document in the Data Assessment.

1,1-Dichloroethene  
Chloroform  
1,2-Dichloropropane  
Toluene  
Ethylbenzene  
Vinyl chloride

ACTION: Circle all outliers with a red pencil.

ACTION: Qualify both positive results and non-detects for the outlier compound(s) as estimated, "J". When %D is above 90%, qualify all positive results for that analyte "J" and all non-detect results for that analyte "R".

NOTE: The above data qualification action applies regardless of method requirements.

14.5 Do any volatile compounds have a  $RRF < 0.05$ ?

NOTE: (Method Requirement) For SPCC compounds, the individual RRF values must be the values in the following list for each calibration verification. If average RRF values reported are below the listed values document in the data assessment.

Chloromethane	0.10
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.10
Bromoform	0.10
Chlorobenzene	0.30
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.30

**ACTION:** Circle all outliers with a red pencil.

ACTION:  
If  $RRF < 0.05$ ,  
qualify all  
positive



# STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

US EPA Region II

SW-846 Method 8260B (Rev 2, Dec 1996)

Date: June 1999

SOP HW-24, Rev. 1

S))Q

YES NO N/A

> + 100%.

3. If the IS area is below the lower limit (< - 50%), qualify all associated non-detects (U-values) "J".
4. If extremely low area counts are reported (< - 25%) or if performance exhibits a major abrupt drop off, flag all associated non-detects as unusable "R" and positive results as estimated "J".

15.2 Are the retention times of all internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated initial mid-point calibration standard (SW-846, 8260B-20, Sect. 7.4.6)?

[ ] \_ \_

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 30 seconds.

## 16.0 Field Duplicates

[ ] \_ \_

16.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for volatile analysis?

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the Data Assessment. However, if large differences exist, take action specified in section 3.2 above.



## DEFINITIONS

### Acronyms:

BFB	-	bromofluorobenzene
BNA	-	base neutral acid
CCC	-	calibration check compound
CF	-	calibration factor
CLP	-	contract laboratory program
CRQL	-	contract required quantitation limit
% D	-	percent difference or percent drift
GC/MS	-	gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy
IS	-	internal standard
l	-	liter
LCS	-	laboratory control sample
Kg	-	kilograms
m	-	meter
mm	-	millimeter
MS	-	matrix spike
MSD	-	matrix spike duplicate
m/z	-	mass to charge ratio
QC	-	quality control
RIC	-	reconstructed ion chromatogram
RPD	-	relative percent difference
RRF	-	relative response factor
RRT	-	relative retention time
RSD	-	relative standard deviation
RT	-	retention time
SDG	-	sample delivery group
SOP	-	standard operating procedure
SPCC	-	system performance check compound
TIC	-	tentatively identified compound
TCLP	-	toxicity characteristic leach procedure
ug	-	micrograms
VOA	-	volatile organic acid

## DEFINITIONS

### Data Qualified Definitions:

- U     -     The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J     -     The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- N     -     The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification".
- NJ    -     The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ    -     The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R     -     The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.